



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/760,363

01/21/2004

Hiroshi Miyahara

040012

9816

23850 7590 04/10/2008
KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP
1420 K Street, N.W.
Suite 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

DIACOU, ARI M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3663

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/10/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/760,363	Applicant(s) MIYAHARA, HIROSHI	
	Examiner ARI M. DIACOU	Art Unit 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARI M. DIACOU.

(3) Nicholas S. Bromer.

(2) Edward Pipala.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fujita (cited), DeLorme(6321158), DeLorme (5948040).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Differences between the prior art generally and the claimed invention were discussed. Mr Bromer indicated that one novel feature was a predetermined set of "guidance points" for landmarks stored in the navigator, that could be determined by an algoirthm more complicated than simply road type and distance. Examiner indicated that if that feature was desired, the guidance points would have to be claimed in much more detail.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ari M. Diacou/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required